

Minutes of the 55th meeting of the Internal Technical Committee under Amended Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) was held on 24.11.2022

The 55th meeting of the Internal Technical Committee (ITC) under Amended Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) was convened on 24.11.2022 from 11.30 A.M onwards, under the Chairmanship of Shri S.P.Verma, Additional Textile Commissioner in the conference hall of the Office of the Textile Commissioner, Mumbai. The list of participants is attached as Annexure-I. The agenda points, which were discussed and decisions taken thereof are as under:

Agenda Point No 1:

Verification and recommendation of proposals for enlistment of Machinery Manufacturers under ATUFS as per Para no. of 3.4 of Revised Resolution of ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 and subsequent order No. 6/5/2015-TUFS dated 06.08.2019.

The list of 03 proposals {Abroad - 01, Indigenous – 02 (VC-01)} received from Textile Machine Manufacturers for enlistment under ATUFS, were scrutinized by the Internal Technical Committee. The list of 03 proposals was recommended to the Textile Commissioner for her approval to upload the same on website of the Textile Commissioners Office (Attached herewith as Annexure-II).

Agenda Point no.02: Cases forwarded by TUFS Cell

Sub Agenda Point No. 01: One machine part of a complete line belongs to another machine manufacturer

ATUFS cell vide Note no.12 (3785)/JIT/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/151 dated 15.11.2022 forwarded claim of Complete Production line for spun bonded Non-Woven (MC 03 - g 1) purchased from M/s. Dong Yang Aolong Nonwoven Equipment Co. Ltd., China through their authorized agent M/s. Hongkong Far East Garments Machinery I/E Co. Ltd., China by the beneficiary M/s. Scap Techno Fab Pvt. Ltd.

During JIT, noticed that two name plates were affixed on the machine (complete production line). In one of those name plates the machinery manufacturer name mentioned as “Changzhou Qiaode Precision Machinery Co. Ltd”.

In this regard manufacturer clarified that, as per requirement of the beneficiary they procured calendar part from “Changzhou Qiaode Precision Machinery Co. Ltd” as part of their complete assembly line.

Kce

[Handwritten mark]

ATUFS cell submitted JIT report, Machine name plate photo and Manufacturer declaration with invoice details.

Decision Taken: M/s. Changzhou Qiaode Precision Machinery Co. Ltd is not enlisted as manufacturer under ATUFS. Moreover, this matter doesn't fall under the purview of ITC as it is not a technical matter. Hence, ATUFS section may take suitable decision as per existing guidelines.

Sub Agenda Point No. 02:

ATUFS cell vide Note no.12 (2957)/JIT/ATUFS/2020/TUFS/243 dated 15.11.2022 forwarded below issues regarding beneficiary claim (M/s. Shreelaxmi Creation Pvt. Ltd.).

A. Machine Model No. mismatching with invoice and nameplate

Machine code (claimed)	- MC2-40 (PLC controlled Fully Automatic Flat Bed printing machine with pneumatic blanket control)
Machinery manufacturer	- M/s.Indian Textile Engineers
Model No .in Invoice	- "FB-19/017-18"
Model no. in Machine name plate	- "19/017-18"
Manufacturer clarification	- "FB" prefix to serial number is stands for "Flat Bed Screen Printing Machine"

ATUFS cell submitted JIT report, Machine name plate photo and Manufacturer declaration with invoice copy.

Decision Taken: - The committee examined the documents and accepted the clarification given by the manufacturer. Hence, the committees recommended to consider the case if other ATUFS guidelines are met.

B. Machine name description mismatching with invoice and nameplate

Machine code (claimed)	- MC2-40 (PLC based Sueding / peach finishing machine with brushing / raising / contipress/ pile cutting)
Machinery manufacturer	- M/s. Palod Himson Machines Pvt Ltd
Machine name mentioned in JIT report	- "PLC based Peach Finishing machine"

la

Machine name mentioned in Invoice - **“PLC based Super Finishing machine”**
Manufacturer clarification - Name mentioned in the invoice is their trade name
.However actual machine is “Peaching machine”. The function of the machine is to modify fabric looks more smooth and fine to prepare heavy fabrics. In this regard, ATUFS cell submitted JIT report and Manufacturer declaration with invoice copy.

Decision Taken: - The committee examined the documents and noted that the name mentioned in JIT report is “PLC based Peach Finishing machine” and the name mentioned in the machine name plate is “Raising Machine” which are contradictory with name mentioned in the invoice and manufacturer declaration as “PLC based Super Finishing machine”. The committee opined that Raising and Peaching machines are two different technology. Hence the committee requested ATUFS cell to process the claim accordingly.

Agenda Point no. 03: Cases forwarded by RO Ahmedabade

Sub Agenda Point No. 01: M/s. Hari Enterprise, Surat

RO Ahmedabad vide letter no. 17(21)/5124/2022/ATUFS/ROA/81 dated 11.07.2022 requested to place the manufacturing year issue where the commercial invoice is issued after manufacturing and during dispatch of the machine in respect to JIT report of M/s. Hari Enterprise, Surat under ATUFS(TUFS Ref. No. ATUFS/2019-20/1736).

1. The unit has purchased 02 Nos. of Rapier Looms from M/s. Shandong Rifa textile Machinery Co. Ltd; China vide Invoice No. RFHARI2001 dated 06.05.2020.
2. The date of Bill of Lading and Country of Origin Certificate is 12.05.2020.
3. However, the machine name plate indicates date as 2004.
4. Since the date of manufacturing as per name plate is indicated as 2004, a clarification was sought from the applicant unit.
5. The unit has submitted a clarification from the machine manufacturer certifying that the date mentioned on machine plate serial number 61248 & 61249 and date is 2004. In that “**2004**”, 20 symbolizes the year and 04 depicts the month and it is not read as year 2004. (i.e. Year 2020, April month)
6. However, the date indicated on machine plate indicates 2004 and without any space or demarcation for year and month.
7. In this regard RO Ahmedabad submitted copy of the Machine Plate photo, Invoice, Bill of Lading, Country of Origin Certificate, query raised vide mail and the reply received from the unit along with clarification from the machine manufacturer.

Decision Taken in 47th ITC dated 28.07.2022: The committee has scrutinized the documents forwarded by ATUFS and decided to verify the authenticity of the letter by sending an email to the manufacturer namely M/s. Shandong Rifa Textile Machinery Co. Ltd; China.

Now the unit replied below vide email dated 17.11.2022 for the this office query email dated 29.07.2022 and several reminder emails,

Unit authenticated that the letter dated 12.05.2022(previously submitted) was issued by them and genuine. In addition to that, due to human error the manufacturing year mentioned as “2004” during writing instead of “20.04”. They instructed to their staffs for strict compliance of Indian customer requirements for avoiding same in near future.

Decision Taken: The committee reviewed the documents and considered the justification submitted by the manufacturer after referring their other claims in which the name plate design of the same machine manufacturer also found in the records of Powerloom development Cell. Hence, the committee recommended to consider the case, subject to eligibility of claim under ATUFS in respect of other guidelines of ATUFS. The machine manufacturers should be advised to modify their pattern as per international norms.

Sub Agenda Point No. 02: M/s. Maa Fashion, Surat

RO Ahmedabad vide letter no. 17(21)/5104/2022/ATUFS/ROA/82 dated 11.07.2022 requested to place the manufacturing year issue where commercial invoice is issued prior dated before machine manufacturing and during dispatch of the machine in respect to JIT report of M/s. Maa Fashion, Surat under ATUFS.(TUFS Ref. No. ATUFS/2021-22/1510)

1. The unit has purchased 02 Nos. of Rapier Looms from M/s. Shandong Rifa Textile Machinery Co. Ltd; China vide Invoice No. RFMFN2101 dated 23.05.2021.
2. The date of Bill of Lading and Country of Origin Certificate is 17.06.2021.
3. However, the machine name plate indicates date as 21.06.
4. Since the date of manufacturing as per name plate is after the date of Invoice, a clarification was sought from the applicant unit.
5. The unit has submitted a clarification from the machine manufacturer certifying that the manufacturing month on the machine name plate attached to the machine is after the issue date of

ba

the commercial invoice because after receiving the order. they have started the manufacturing of 62804 & 62805 number machines and allotted the serial number of it and after completion of manufacturing process of the machine, the same was dispatched to M/s. Maa Fashion with attaching the machine name plate by mentioning the allotted machine serial number and month and year of completion of manufacturing of the machine They have confirmed the month of manufacture of the machine is 06-2021.

6. In this regard RO Ahmedabad submitted a copy of the Machine Plate photo, Invoice, Bill of Lading. Country of Origin Certificate, query raised vide mail and the reply received from the unit along with clarification from the machine manufacturer.

Decision Taken in 47th ITC dated 28.07.2022: The committee has scrutinized the documents forwarded by ATUFS and decided to verify the authenticity of the letter by sending an email to the manufacturer namely M/s. Shandong Rifa Textile Machinery Co. Ltd; China. Clarification may also be called for from the manufacturer as to how it is possible to issue commercial invoice for the goods/machine which was not ready for dispatch which is against the international norms& if they have done it, why their company should not be blacklisted under the scheme.

Now the unit replied below vide email dated 17.11.2022 for the this office query email dated 29.07.2022 and several reminder emails,

Unit authenticated that the letter dated 15.05.2022(Previously submitted) was issued by them and genuine. In addition to that, due to human error the manufacturing year mentioned as “21.06” during writing instead of “21.05”. In addition to that, they will replace machine name plates if they noticed error, but in this case they issued clarification letter based on request of unit and RO-AHD. They instructed to their staffs for strict compliance of Indian customer requirements for avoiding same in near future.

Decision Taken: - The committee reviewed the documents and clarification submitted by the machine manufacturer. The committee did not found any relevance in the clarification submitted by the machine manufacturer, which is contradiction to their previous letter dated 15.05.2022 and decided to refer the matter back to ATUFS cell for taking appropriate decision as per GR and other claims pertaining to same manufacturer.

Agenda Point No.04: Ascertaining the Loom technology manufactured at Surat: Expert Committee Report

The Powerloom Development Cell vide email dated 25.07.2022 requested the Expert Committee constituted based on the 6th IMSC decision for jari processing technology to review the Loom speed and crank driven technology of looms being manufactured by M/s Weave-Tech, M/s Aalidhra, M/s Lifebond and M/s Orange, Surat.

The Expert Committee constituted under the chairmanship of Shri. Vipin Kumar Kohli (Joint Textile Commissioner) visited the above-mentioned loom manufacturing units at Surat on dated 28.07.2022-29.02.2022. As per the instructions by TxC in the concerned file, the report presented before ITC for deliberation the report of the committee is attached as Annexure-III.

Decision Taken in 50th ITC08.09.2022: The committee observed that the matter needs more deliberation and due to paucity of time the matter was deferred to the next ITC meeting.

RO- AHD requested report of the above expert committee to process the pending claims related to speed reduction issue of MC1-A1 (rapier loom) and MC1-A7 (Electronic Jacquard and Electronic doobby)

Decision Taken: The Committee observed that the Expert Committee has recommended for deciding the claim under TUFs based on the JIT finding and verification of speed specification observing scientific process. Based on said recommendation of the expert committee, further action may be taken by respective section under ATUFs.

ka

g/s

List of Indigenous Machine Manufacturers placed before 55th Internal Technical Committee

Sr. No.	File no.	Name of the unit	Indigenous	Certificate of incorporation	Udyog Aadhar	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	ISO Verification	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	J-77	M/s. Jakob Muller India Pvt, Ltd. Plot No.27, 4th Main, Bommasandra Industrial Area, Bangalore -560 099, Karnataka	Indigenous	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	MC-3-C-6	-	Unit applied for restoration from Annexure-II. ATUFS Section confirmed the UID details submitted by machinery manufacturer.	Recommended

ka
NK Singh
Assistant Director

Sachin Kumar
24/11/2022

Hunayun. K
24/11/2022
Asst. Director.

Sivakumar S
24/11/2022
DY. Director

S
24/11/2022

AS
24/11/2022

SK Singh
DY

P2

List of Video Conference of Indigenous Machine Manufacturers placed before 55th Internal Technical Committee

Sr. No.	File no.	Name of the unit	Indigenous	Certificate of incorporation	Udyog Aadhar	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	ISO Verification	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	I-42	M/s. Innovative Flexotech Pvt, Ltd. 436, Patel Avenue, Nr. Gurudwara, SG Highway, Thaltej, Ahmedabad -380 059, Gujarat	Indigenous	SSI Certificate	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	MC-3-w-16	Verified	ATUFS Section confirmed the UID details submitted by machinery manufacturer.	Recommended

NK
NK Singh
Assistant Director

Sachin Kumar
24/11/2022
Do not
24/11/2022

[Signature]
24/11/2022

[Signature]
24/11/2022

[Signature]
24/11/2022

[Signature]
SK Singh
Dir

List of Machine Manufacturers placed before 55th Internal Technical Committee

Sl. no.	Name of the unit	Abroad	Embassy Certificate	BUSINESS LICENCE	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O/C E self declaration	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	ISO Verification	Whether as per direction of 18th TAMC, i.e. Embassy Certificate was routed through MoFA of concern country?	Remark	Technical Committee Decision	
1-25	M/s. Lindauer Dornier GmbH, Germany	Abroad	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	MC-1-1, MC-1-3, MC-3-c-1, MC-3-c-3	Verified	Yes	As per the decision of 25th TAMC, relaxation for the well renowned manufacturer having existence more than 25 years in international trade. Certificate issued by German Chamber of Commerce	Unit applied for restoration from Annexure-II. ATUFS Section to be confirmed the UID details submitted by machinery manufacturer.	Recommended

NK
 NK Singh
 Assistant Director

Sachin Kumar
 24/11/2022

[Signature]
 24/11/22

[Signature]
 24/11/22
 CP 5-D
 24/11/2022

[Signature]
 24/11/22

[Signature]
 S. K. Singh
 DIV